# Global $\mathcal{B}$ -Pullback Attractors for Cocycles Generated by Discrete-Time Cardiac Conduction Models

#### Volker Reitmann and Anastasia Maltseva

Department of Applied Cybernetics Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics St. Petersburg State University

The 11th AIMS Conference on Dynamical Systems,
Differential Equations and Applications

Orlando, Florida, USA July 1 – July 5, 2016

# Basic Tools of Cocycle Theory I

#### Definition 1 (Discrete-time base flow)

Let Q be a topological space. A discrete-time base flow on Q is defined by the mapping  $\tau^{(\cdot)}(\cdot) \colon \mathbb{Z} \times Q \to Q$ ,  $(k,q) \mapsto \tau^k(q)$  satisfying the following properties:

- $\bullet \ \tau^0(\cdot) = id_Q;$
- $\bullet \quad \tau^{k+j}(\cdot) = \tau^k(\cdot) \circ \tau^j(\cdot) \text{ for all } k,j \in \mathbb{Z};$

#### Definition 2 (Discrete-time cocycle over the base flow)

Let  $(N, \rho_N)$  be a metric space. A discrete-time cocycle over the base flow  $(\{\tau^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, Q)$  is defined by the mappings  $\{\psi^k(q,\cdot)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ , where the mapping  $\psi$  has

the following properties:

- $\bullet$   $\psi^{k+j}(q,\cdot) = \psi^k(\tau^j(q),\psi^j(q,\cdot))$ , for all  $k,j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all  $q \in Q$ .

Further notation:  $(\tau, \psi)$ .

# Basic Tools of Cocycle Theory II

### Definition 3 (Invariant subsets)

A family of bounded in N subsets  $\hat{\mathcal{Z}} = \{\mathcal{Z}(q)\}_{q \in Q}$  is said to be *invariant* for  $(\tau, \psi)$  if  $\psi^k(q, \mathcal{Z}(q)) = \mathcal{Z}(\tau^k(q))$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in Q$ .

## Definition 4 (Globally $\mathcal{B}$ -pullback attracting subsets)

A family  $\hat{\mathcal{Z}} = \{\mathcal{Z}(q)\}_{q \in Q}$  is said to be globally  $\mathcal{B}$ -pullback attracting for  $(\tau,\psi)$  if  $dist(\psi^k(\tau^{-k}(q),\mathcal{B}),\mathcal{Z}(q)) \xrightarrow{l} 0$  for arbitrary  $q \in Q$  and for any bounded set  $\mathcal{B} \subset N$ .

### Definition 5 (Global $\mathcal{B}$ -pullback attractor)

A family of compact subsets  $\hat{A} = \{A(q)\}_{q \in Q}$  is called a global  $\mathcal{B}$ -pullback attractor for  $(\tau, \psi)$  if it is invariant and globally  $\mathcal{B}$ -pullback attracting.

<sup>[1]</sup> Kloeden P.E., Schmalfuss B. Nonautonomous systems, cocycle attractors and variable time-step discretization, Numerical Algorithms, 1997, vol. 14, № 1-3, p. 141-152.

# Control System with Disturbances

#### Suppose that

- $(Y, (\cdot, \cdot)_Y)$ ,  $(\Xi, (\cdot, \cdot)_\Xi)$  and  $(Z, (\cdot, \cdot)_Z)$  are Hilbert spaces,
- $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y,Y)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\Xi,Y)$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{L}(Y,Y)$  are linear bounded operators,
- $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \times Z \to \Xi$  is a nonlinear function,
- $\{\zeta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence in Y.

Consider the discrete time control system with disturbances

$$y_{k+1} = Ay_k + B\xi_k + \zeta_k, \tag{1}$$

where  $\xi_k = \phi(k, z_k)$ , and  $z_k = Cy_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The linear part of (1) is given by

$$y_{k+1} = Ay_k + B\xi_k, (2)$$

where  $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is some sequence in  $\Xi$ .

# $\ell^2$ -controllability

Suppose H is a Hilbert space with associated norm  $||\cdot||_H$ . Introduce the space of square summable sequences

$$\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}; H) := \left\{ h = \{h_{k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset H \mid ||h||_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}; H)}^{2} := \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} ||h_{k}||_{H}^{2} < \infty \right\}.$$
 (3)

## Definition 6 ( $\ell^2$ -controllability)

System (2) and the pair (A,B) are called  $\ell^2$ -controllable if for any  $y_0 \in Y$  there exists a control  $\{\xi_k(y_0)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z},\Xi)$  such that for the solution  $y_k(0,y_0)$  of (2) with this control we have  $\{y_k(0,y_0)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z};Y)$ .

<sup>[2]</sup> Antonov V.G., Likhtarnikov A.L., Yakubovich V.A. *A discrete frequency theorem for the case of Hilbert spaces of states and controls. I*, Vestnik Leningr.Univ.Math, 1980, vol. 8. p.1–11

# Dissipativity of the Control System with Disturbances

Consider the system

$$y_{k+1} = Ay_k + B\xi_k + \zeta_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1}$$

Let us derive frequency domain conditions for dissipativity of system (1).

### Definition 7 (Dissipativity)

We say that system (1) is dissipative if there exists a compact set  $\mathcal{D} \subset Y$  such that for any solution  $y_k(k_0,y_0)$  of (1) with  $y_{k_0}(k_0,y_0)=y_0$  there exists a time  $\bar{k}=\bar{k}(k_0,y_0)$  such that  $y_k(k_0,y_0)\in\mathcal{D}$  for all  $k\geqslant \bar{k}$ .

<sup>[3]</sup> Dmitriev, Yu. A. Frequency conditions for the dissipativity and for the existence of periodic solutions in pulse systems with one non-linear block, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1965, vol. 164, no. 1, p.28–31 (in Russian)

## Quadratic Form

Suppose that there is given the quadratic form on  $Y imes \Xi$ 

$$\mathcal{F}(y,\xi) := (F_1y,y)_Y + 2Re(F_2\xi,y)_Y + (F_3\xi,\xi)_{\Xi}$$

with  $F_1 = F_1^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y), F_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\Xi, Y)$  and  $F \in \mathcal{L}(\Xi, \Xi)$ . According to the quadratic form  $\mathcal{F}$  we assume the following property

$$\mathcal{F}(y,\xi) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y \text{ and } \xi = \phi(k,Cy), k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (4)

Consider the Hermitian extension  $\mathcal{F}^c$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  given on  $Y^c \times \Xi^c$  and coinciding with  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Y \times \Xi$ .

<sup>[4]</sup> Pankov A.A. Bounded and Almost Periodic Solutions of Nonlinear Operator Differential Equations, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 1990

# Discrete Frequency Theorem

Consider the system

$$y_{k+1} = Ay_k + B\xi_k + \zeta_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (1)

#### Theorem 1

Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- The pair (A, B) is  $\ell^2$ -controllable;
- ② The spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of A lies inside the unit disc in C, i.e.  $\sigma(A) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < 1\};$
- **3** The frequency domain condition is satisfied, i.e. there exist some  $\delta>0$  such that

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}((\lambda I_{y}-A)^{-1}B\xi,\xi)\leqslant -\delta||\xi||_{\Xi}^{2}\ \forall \xi\in\Xi,\forall\lambda\in\mathbb{C},|\lambda|=1;$$

• Inequality (4) is satisfied uniformly with respect to k.

Then system (1) has a bounded on  $\mathbb{Z}$  solution  $\{\bar{y}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ . If the function  $\phi(k,z)$  and the sequence  $\{\zeta\}_k$  are almost periodic then the solution  $\{\bar{y}_k\}$  is also almost periodic. Suppose  $\phi$  does not depend on k and  $\{\zeta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is an ergodic or mixing process. Then  $\{\bar{y}_k\}$  is ergodic o mixing.

# Uniqueness of the Solution

Consider again the system

$$y_{k+1} = Ay_k + B\xi_k + \zeta_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(1)

#### Theorem 2

Suppose that the conditions 1)-3) of Theorem 1 and the following condition are satisfied:

- $\bullet$   $\phi(k,0)$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ;
- ②  $\mathcal{F}(y-y',\xi-\xi')\geqslant 0$  for all  $y,y'\in Y$  and  $\xi=\phi(k,Cy)$ ,  $\xi'=\phi(k,Cy'),\ k\in\mathbb{Z}.$

Then the solution  $\{\bar{y}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  from Theorem 1 is unique and there exists constants  $c_1>0$  and  $\rho\in(0,1)$  such that for any other solution  $\{y_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  of (1) we have

$$||y_k - \bar{y}_k||_Y \leqslant c_1 \rho^{k-k_0} ||y_{k_0} - \bar{y}_{k_0}||_Y, k \geqslant k_0.$$

<sup>[5]</sup> Maltseva A.A., Reitmann V. Global stability and bifurcations of invariant measures for the discrete cocycles of the cardiac conduction system's equations, Differential Equations, vol. 50, 2014, p. 1718-1732

# Idea of the Proof of the Theorem (2)

Consider the system

$$y_{k+1} = Ay_k + B\xi_k + \zeta_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (1)

From [2] it follows that there exists an operator  $P = P^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y)$  with  $P\gg 0$  such that the Lyapunov function  $V(y):=(y,Py)_Y,y\in Y$  satisfied the inequality

$$V(Ay + B\phi(k, y) + \zeta_k) - V(y) \leq -\varepsilon ||y||_Y^2, \forall y \in Y, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Along with (1) consider the equation

$$y_{k+1}^{(q)} = Ay_k^{(q)} + B\phi^{(q)}(k, z_k) + \zeta_k^{(q)}, z_k = Cy_k^{(q)}, k \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{Z}_B,$$
 (5)

where  $\mathbb{Z}_B$  is the Bohr compactification of the group  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\phi^{(q)}(k,z_k) = \hat{\phi}(q+k,z), q \in \mathbb{Z}_B, k \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in Z,$$

where  $\hat{\phi}(\cdot,\cdot)$  is the continious extension of  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_R \times Z$ .

# Example: Cardiac Conduction Model

Consider following system:

$$\begin{cases} A_{k+1} = A_{min} + R_k \exp\left(-\frac{A_k + H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) + \gamma \exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) + \beta_k \exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{rec}}\right), \\ R_{k+1} = R_k \exp\left(-\frac{A_k + H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) + \gamma \exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right), \end{cases}$$
(6)

where: •

$$\beta(A_k) := \beta_k = \begin{cases} 201 - 0.7A_k, \text{ for } A_k < 130, \\ 500 - 3A_k, \text{ for } A_k \geqslant 130; \end{cases}$$

- $A_{min}, \tau_{rec}, \gamma, \tau_{fat}$  are positive constants,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- $(A,R) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ :
- $A_k$  is the conduction time of kth impulse;
- $H_k$  is the nodal recovery time during cycle k.
- $R_k$  is the drift in the nodal conduction time of kth impulse.

<sup>[6]</sup> Sun J., Amellal F., Glass L., Billete J. Alternans and period-doubling bifurcations in atrioventricular nodal conduction. J. theor. Biol., 1995, 173 p. 79-91.

# Example: Cardiac Conduction Model. Dissipativity

Consider the system:

$$\begin{cases} A_{k+1} = A_{min} + R_k exp\left(-\frac{A_k + H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) + \gamma exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) + \beta_k exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{rec}}\right), \\ R_{k+1} = R_k exp\left(-\frac{A_k + H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) + \gamma exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right). \end{cases}$$
(6)

#### Theorem 3

System (6) is dissipative, and the dissipative set  $\mathcal{D}$  has the following form:

$$\mathcal{D} = \left[ A_{min}, d_1 \frac{c_2}{1 - c_2} \right] \times \left[ 0, d_2 \frac{c_3}{1 - c_2} \right], \text{ where }$$

$$0 < 3\exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{rec}}\right) \leqslant c_2, \ 0 < \exp\left(-\frac{A_k + H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) \leqslant c_3 < 1, \ \gamma \exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{fat}}\right) \leqslant d_2,$$
 
$$A_{min} + d_2 \frac{c_3}{1 - c_3} + 500 \exp\left(-\frac{H_k}{\tau_{rec}}\right) \leqslant d_1.$$

## Invariant Measures for Cocycles

#### Suppose that

- ullet Q has the structure of a measurable space  $(Q,\mathfrak{C},
  u)$  with measure u;
- ${\mathfrak B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of N.

#### Definition 8 (Invariant measure)

A measure u on  $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{B}$  is called *invariant measure* for the cocycle  $( au, \psi)$  if

$$\mu(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) = \mu(\mathcal{A}), \forall \mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{B}, k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\varphi: Q \times N \to Q \times N$  is defined by  $\varphi^k(q, v) = (\tau^k(q), \psi^k(q, v))$ .

The disintegrations of  $\nu$  are given by the family of measures  $\mu_q$  on  ${\mathfrak B}$  satisfying

$$\nu(\mathcal{A}) = \int_{Q} \mu_{q}(\mathcal{A}_{q}) d\nu(q). \tag{7}$$

[7] Maltseva, A., Reitmann, V., Bifurcations of invariant measures in discrete-time parameter dependent cocycles, *Mathematica Bohemica*, 2015, no. 2, vol. 140, pp. 205–213.